Primary S	chools - Block	A Performance	e Profile settin	ig judgement -	Inspection re	ports publishe	d on Ofsted	website as at	31st Decembe	er 2010.	
Inner East	Outer East	Inner North East	Outer North East	Inner North West	Outer North West	Inner West	Outer West	Inner South	Outer South	City Wide Result	City Wide Target
3 - Out.	3 - Out.	4 - Out.	7 - Out.	2 - Out.	7 - Out.	1 - Out.	2 - Out.	3 - Out.	4 - Out.	36 - Out.	N/A
13 - Gd.	13 - Gd.	6 - Gd.	12 - Gd.	11 - Gd.	16 - Gd.	6 - Gd.	10 - Gd.	8 - Gd.	11 - Gd.	106 - Gd.	
7 - Sat.	12 - Sat.	6 - Sat.	4 - Sat.	6 - Sat.	5 - Sat.	8 - Sat.	8 - Sat.	10 - Sat.	8 - Sat.	74 - Sat.	
0 - Inad.	0 - Inad.	0 - Inad.	0 - Inad.	0 - Inad.	0 - Inad.	0 - Inad.	1 - Inad.	1 - Inad.	1 - Inad.	3 - Inad.	
Secondary	y Schools - Blo	ock A Performa	nce Profile se	tting judgeme	nts - Inspectio	n reports publi	shed on Of	sted website a	as at 31st Dece	ember 2010	
Inner	Outer	Inner	Outer	Inner	Outer	Inner	Outer	Inner	Outer	City Wide	City Wide
East	East	North East	North East	North West	North West	West	West	South	South	Result	Target
0 - Out.	1 - Out.	1 - Out.	0 - Out.	0 - Out.	0 - Out.	2 new	0 - Out.	0 - Out.	1 - Out.	3 - Out.	N/A
2 - Gd.	3 - Gd.	2 - Gd.	2 - Gd.	1 - Gd.	4 - Gd.	schools, no	1 - Gd.	1 - Gd.	0 - Gd.	16 - Gd.	
2 - Sat.	1 - Sat.	1 - Sat.	1 - Sat.	1 - Sat.	2 - Sat.	current Ofsted	2 - Sat.	0 - Sat.	4 - Sat.	14 - Sat.	
0 - Inad.	0 - Inad.	0 - Inad.	0 - Inad.	1 - Inad.	0 - Inad.	reports.	1 - Inad.	0 - Inad.	0 - Inad.	2 - Inad.	
Sixth form	ns (includes SI	LCs, therefore	total can be m	ore than num	per of seconda	aries) - Block A	Performan	ce Profile sett	ing judgement	ts - Inspection	reports
		bsite as at 31st	-								
nner	Outer	Inner	Outer	Inner	Outer	Inner	Outer	Inner	Outer	City Wide	City Wide
East	East	North East	North East	North West	North West	West	West	South	South	Result	Target
0 - Out.	0 - Out.	2 - Out.	0 - Out.	0 - Out.	0 - Out.	0 - Out.	0 - Out.	0 - Out.	0 - Out.	2 - Out.	N/A
1 - Gd.	2 - Gd.	1 - Gd.	1 - Gd.	2 - Gd.	4 - Gd.	0 - Gd.	1 - Gd.	1 - Gd.	1 - Gd.	14 - Gd.	
2 - Sat.	2 - Sat.	2 - Sat.	2 - Sat.	1 - Sat.	2 - Sat.	1 - Sat.	2 - Sat.	0 - Sat.	4 - Sat.	18 - Sat.	
0 - Inad.	0 - Inad.	0 - Inad.	0 - Inad.	0 - Inad.	0 - Inad.	0 - Inad.	1 - Inad.	0 - Inad.	0 - Inad.	1 - Inad.	

Foundation Stage Attainment													
Measure: NI 72 - Early Years Foundation Stage - percentage of children achieving a good level of development													
Inner East	Outer East	Inner North East	Outer North East	Inner North West	Outer North West	Inner West	Outer West	Inner South	Outer South	City Wide Result	City Wide Target		
2009-10 A	Academic Year	,				<u>.</u>							
34.2	54.4	55.1	68.0	55.1	66.9	45.4	57.4	38.8	57.4	53	56		
nformati	on about the P		1	1		1	1	1			1		

Information about the PI

The Early Years Foundation Stage Profile is a statutory framework for children's learning and development and welfare from birth to the end of the academic year in which they turn 5. It covers six areas of learning: personal, social and emotional development; communication, language and literacy; problem-solving, reasoning and numeracy; knowledge and understanding of the world; physical development and creative development. To achieve a good level of development, children need to achieve 78 or more points and at least 6 points in each of the communication, language and literacy and personal, social and emotional development strands. Good performance is typified by an increase in percentage points.

Comment on city wide performance

Following a very encouraging 4 percentage points improvement in the percentage of pupils reaching a good level of development (GLD) in 2008-09, outcomes have again risen in 2009-10; this time by 2 percentage points. This continued improvement has been driven by the strong performance observed in the Personal, Social and Emotional Development (PSED) and Communication, Language and Literacy Development (CLLD) strands; which are key to this indicator. National and statistical neighbour performance have improved by a greater amount than in Leeds and the percentage of children achieving a good level of development is now 3 percentage points lower than national and 4 percentage points below statistical neighbours.

Inner Out	Measure: NI 73 - Achievement at level 4 or above in both English and Maths at Key Stage 2.												
East Eas			Outer North East	Inner North West	Outer North West	Inner West	Outer West	Inner South	Outer South	City Wide Result	City Wide Target		
2009-10 Academi	ic Year												
60.0 74 .4	.4 7	3.6	90.6	72.3	86.0	60.1	76.2	60.4	71.0	74	77		
Information about This indicator mea Stage 2 with valid relates to tests tak percentage. Comment on city	asures the nu National Cur ken by pupils	riculum test r at the end of	esults in both	English and ma	aths. Key Stag	e 2 is the sta	ge of the Natio	nal Curriculum	between ages	8 and 11 years.	This indicate		

Please note: 2009-10 data is provisional.

Inner	Outer	Inner	Outer	Inner	Outer	Inner	Outer	Inner	Outer	City Wide	City Wide
East	East	North East	North East	North West	North West	West	West	South	South	Result	Target
009-10 Ac	ademic Year										
' (of 22	2 (of 28	4 (of 16	0 (of 23	4 (of 19	0 (of 25	3 (of 15	0 (of 20	4 (of 22	2 (of 23	26 (of 213	15 (of 213
orimary	primary	primary	primary	primary	primary	primary	primary	primary	primary	primary	primary
chools)	schools)	schools)	schools)	schools)	schools)	schools)	schools)	schools)	schools)	schools)	schools)
.008-09 Ac	ademic Year							·		· · · ·	
5 (of 23	4 (of 28	4 (of 16	0 (of 18	4 (of 19	0 (of 25	5 (of 15	3 (of 20	8 (of 22	1 (of 23	34 schools	11 schools
orimary	primary	primary	primary	primary	primary	primary	primary	primary	primary	(of 209	(of 209
schools)	schools)	schools)	schools)	schools)	schools)	schools)	schools)	schools)	schools)	schools)	schools)

Information about the PI

This indicator relates to maintained mainstream schools with end of KS2 cohorts with more than 10 pupils where less than 55% are achieving Level 4 or above in both English and maths at the end of KS2. Pupils' attainment is assessed in relation to the National Curriculum and pupils are awarded levels on the National Curriculum scale to reflect their attainment. The data for 2010 is only for schools that undertook the tests at the end of Key Stage 2. Good performance is typified by a fall in the number.

Comment on city wide performance 2009-10

Due to some schools boycotting tests in the academic year 2009-10, the number of schools below floor target has been calculated using test data where available and teacher assessment where tests were not undertaken. Provisional data for 2009-10 indicates that the number of schools below the floor target of 55% of pupils achieving level 4 or above in English and maths, has fallen from 34 in 2009 to 26 in 2009-10. This is the lowest ever number of schools below floor target in Leeds. Information from the new government indicates that the floor target for primary schools will change from 55% to 60%. If this proposed floor target was to be applied to the data for 2009-10, there would be 35 primary schools below the proposed new floor target of 60%.

Measure: PI)	NI 102 A Ach	lievement gap be	etween pupils	eligible for fre	e school mea	Is and their	peers achievi	ng the expecte	ed level at Key	/ Stage 2 (Impro	vement Notice
Inner East	Outer East	Inner North East	Outer North East	Inner North West	Outer North West	Inner West	Outer West	Inner South	Outer South	City Wide Result	City Wide Target
2009-10 A	cademic Yea	r							·		
										26	22.8
This indica pupils inel		the percentage po achieving the san	• •			•	, .		in English and	I maths at Key St	age 2 and

Gaps between pupils eligible for free school meals and their peers at are not particularly meaningful at an AC level, therefore only the city wide position has been reported. The gap in the percentage point achieving level 4 or above in English and maths at Key Stage 2 between pupils eligible for free school meals and thier peers is 26 percentage points. There was an improvement in attainment of pupils eligible for free schools meals, but only at the same rate as the improvement for pupils not eligible for free school meals, therefore the gap is the same as in 2008-09. The gap in Leeds is 5 percentage points wider than the national gap.

Please note: 2009-10 data is provisional.

Secondary Attainment												
Measure: I maths.	NI 75 Proporti	ion of pupils in s	schools maint	ained by the a	uthority achie	ving five or	more GCSEs	at grades A*-0	C or equivalen	t, including Eng	lish and	
Inner East	Outer East	Inner North East	Outer North East	Inner North West	Outer North West	Inner West	Outer West	Inner South	Outer South	City Wide Result	City Wide Target	
2009-10 Ad	cademic Year										•	
33.5	53.4	52.3	57.4	49.6	64.8	36.7	42.3	36.6	54.1	50.3	50.6	
2008-09 Ad	cademic Year	•								·		
30.9	51.2	46.4	52.0	41.2	62.1	27.1	41.3	26.0	49.3	45.9	51.6	

Information about the PI

This indicator covers the number of pupils achieving 5 or more A*-C GCSEs or equivalent including English and maths at KS4 as a percentage of the number of pupils at the end of KS4. The school element relates to all maintained mainstream schools including Academies. Key Stage 4 (KS4) is the stage of the National Curriculum between the ages of 14 and 16 years. GCSE is the principal means of assessing pupil attainment at the end of compulsory secondary education. Grades A* to G are classified as passes, grades A* to C as good passes and grades U and X as fails. Good performance is typified by an increase in percentage points.

Comment on city wide performance

There has been strong improvement against the headline national measure of 5 or more GCSEs at grades A*-C including English and maths, with over half of young people in Leeds now reaching this level. At 50.3% this represents significant improvement, with a 4.4 percentage point improvement from the 2009 result of 45.9%. National results improved by 3.3 percentage points, therefore the gap to national attainment for this indicator has narrowed and performance in Leeds is now 2.8 percentage points lower than national. Despite the significant improvements achieved, the challenging target of 56.9%, set by schools has not been met.

Please note:

2009-10 data is provisional and data for South Leeds academy is not included as they did not provide permission for the authority to receive their pupil level Key Stage 4 results.

	II 78 Reductio . (Improveme		schools wher	e fewer than 3	0% of pupils a	achieve 5 or	more A*- C gra	ides at GCSE	and equivalen	nt including GC	SEs in English
Inner East	Outer East	Inner North East	Outer North East	Inner North West	Outer North West	Inner West	Outer West	Inner South	Outer South	City Wide Result	City Wide Target
2009-10 Ac	ademic Year	•	•	·		•	•				
1 (of 4 schools)	0 (of 5 schools)	0 (of 4 schools)	0 (of 3 schools)	0 (of 3 schools)	0 (of 6 schools)	1 of (2 schools)	0 (of 4 schools)	1 (of 2 schools)	0 (of 5 schools)	3 (of 38 schools)	1 school
Information	n about the PI	1	1	-1	-1	1	1	1	1	4	1

The number of schools in the local authority where the number of pupils achieving 5 or more A*-C grades or equivalent including English and Maths at KS4 as a percentage of the number of pupils at the end of KS4 is less than 30%. Good performance is typified by a fall in number of schools.

Comment on city wide performance

There are three schools in Leeds below the current floor target of 30% or more pupils achieving 5 or more GCSEs at grades A*-C including English and maths (NI 78). This is compared to four in 2009 (in addition there were 3 schools that closed in 2009 that were below the floor target – South Leeds, West Leeds and Wortley). The three schools remaining below floor target are Primrose (25%), Swallow Hill (24%) and South Leeds Academy (29%). Even though these schools remain below the floor target, Primrose achieved significant improvements in 2010 compared to 2009, Swallow Hill performed better than the combined West Leeds and Wortley results in 2009 and South Leeds Academy performed better than South Leeds High School in 2009.

The recent Education White paper states that the floor target will be raised from 30% achieving 5 or more A*-C including English and maths to 35%. If this floor target was to be applied to the data for 2009-10, there would be 8 schools below this level.

Please note: 2010 data is provisional.

Appendix 1

Measure: NI PI)	102 B Achiev	rement gap be	tween pupils	eligible for fre	e school meal	s and their pee	ers achieving	the expected	l level at Key St	age 4 (Improv	ement Notice
Inner East	Outer East	Inner North East	Outer North East	Inner North West	Outer North West	Inner West	Outer West	Inner South	Outer South	City Wide Result	City Wide Target
2009-10 Aca	demic Year							•			
										33	24.8
FSM achievir	ige point gap b ng the same ou	tcome. Good	-	-	-	CSE (and equiverse contended and equiverse contended and equiverse contended and equiverse contended and equiv	,	ng GCSE Eng	lish and Maths,	at KS4 and pup	ils ineligible for
Gaps betwee 2010 data is Leeds are wi performance	provisional. Th der than the na for this group,	e for free schoo ere has historio tional gaps. Ti whereas attain	ally been a wic ne gaps are wic ment for pupils	le gap in attain der in Leeds be eligible for free	ment in Leeds ecause perform e school meals	between pupils ance of pupils r	eligible for free not eligible for f al attainment fe	e school meal free school me	the city wide pos s and those who eals in Leeds is In 2010 the gap	are not, and th generally in line	e gaps in with national

Measure	Measure: NI 108 Key Stage 4 attainment for Black and minority ethnic groups (Improvement Notice PI)													
Inner East	Outer East	Inner North East	Outer North East	Inner North West	Outer North West	Inner West	Outer West	Inner South	Outer South	City Wide Result	City Wide Target			
	See Appendix 1a for results													

Inner North East	Outer North East		Outer	Inner	Outer	Inner	Outer	City Wide	City Wide
		North West	North West	West	West				Target
ır									
94.9 9	4.7 95.5	94.1	95.8	94	94.6	93.1	94.9	94.4	
			<u></u>						
	PI	94.9 94.7 95.5 Pl	94.9 94.7 95.5 94.1 PI	94.9 94.7 95.5 94.1 95.8 PI	94.9 94.7 95.5 94.1 95.8 94 PI	94.9 94.7 95.5 94.1 95.8 94 94.6	94.9 94.7 95.5 94.1 95.8 94 94.6 93.1 PI	94.9 94.7 95.5 94.1 95.8 94 94.6 93.1 94.9 PI	94.9 94.7 95.5 94.1 95.8 94 94.6 93.1 94.9 94.4 PI

half-terms 1-5, but comparative information for half-terms 1-4 indicates that attendance improved more in Leeds than nationally in 2009/10. In half-terms 1-4 attendance in leeds was 0.4% lower in Leeds than nationally.

Persistence Absence - Primary															
	Outer East					Inner West		Inner South	Outer South	-	City Wide Target				
2009-10 Acad	009-10 Academic Year														
3.6	1.3	1.4	0.9	2.4	0.6	2.2	1.4	3.4	. 1.5	1.9					
nformation a															

The percentage of primary pupils that are persistent absentees in half-terms 1-5, where a persistent absentee is defined as a pupil missing 64 or more sessions of school (attendance below 80%).

Comment on city wide performance

The percentage of primary pupils that were persistent absentees fell from 2.3% in 2008/09 to 1.9% in 2009/10. This reverses a previous trend of rising persistent absence in primary schools in Leeds. National data is not yet available for half-terms 1-5, but comparative information for half-terms 1-4 indicates that persistent absence in Leeds was 0.7 percentage points higher than national levels of persistent absence for this time period.

Attendance -	Secondary										
			Outer North East	Inner North West	Outer North West						City Wide Target
2009-10 Acad	emic Year		-								
89.2	92.3	92.7	92.2	90.5	93.2	88.1	92	88	92.2	91.6	93.3
Information a	bout the PI										

The percentage of possible sessions attended in secondary schools in half terms 1-5

Comment on city wide performance

For half-terms 1-5 attendance in secondary schools has increased marginally from 91.5% in 2008/09 to 91.6% in 2009/10. National data is not yet available for half-terms 1-5, but comparative information for half-terms 1-4 indicates that improvements in Leeds is less than the improvement achieved nationally and in statistical neighbours and therefore the gaps in performance to these comparators has widened. Attendance was 1.6 percentage points below national for half-terms 1-5.

				North West	West	West	South	South	Result	Target
ar					-	-	_	-		
5.7	4.9	6.3	8.8	4	13.4	7	14.5	6.7	7.4	6.
,	5.7 Pl	5.7 4.9 PI	5.7 4.9 6.3 Pl	5.7 4.9 6.3 8.8 Pl	5.7 4.9 6.3 8.8 4 Pl	5.7 4.9 6.3 8.8 4 13.4 PI	5.7 4.9 6.3 8.8 4 13.4 7 PI	5.7 4.9 6.3 8.8 4 13.4 7 14.5 PI	5.7 4.9 6.3 8.8 4 13.4 7 14.5 6.7 PI	5.7 4.9 6.3 8.8 4 13.4 7 14.5 6.7 7.4

Comment on city wide performance

Persistent absence in secondary schools has fallen from 8.1% in 2008/09 to 7.4% in 2009/10, this continues a trend of reducing persistent absence. National data is not yet available for half-terms 1-5, but comparative information for half-terms 1-4 indicates that reductions in persistent absence were in line with reductions achieved nationally. Persistent absence for half-terms 1-4 was 2.9 percentage points higher in Leeds than nationally.

Exclusions (I	ocal data) - F	Primary and Se	econdary								
Inner East	Outer East	Inner North East	Outer North East	Inner North West	Outer North West	Inner West	Outer West	Inner South	Outer South	City Wide Result	City Wide Target
2009-10 Acad	demic Year									<u> </u>	
Primary Excl	usions - The	rate of fixed te	erm exclusion	per 1000 pupi	ils (numbers i	n brackets are	number of exc	clusions).			
9.6 (74)	5.0 (40)	9.5 (54)	5.9 (28)	8.2 (33)	1.2 (8)	(3.9 (16)	3.8 (24)	5.7 (38)	(5.4 (42)	5.8 (357) all pupils 46.6	all pupils 45.0
Secondary E	xclusions - T	he rate of fixe	d term exclusi	ion per 1000 p	upils (number	s in brackets	are number of	exclusions).			
210.5 (528) - excludes David Young Academy	18.0 (117)	71.5 (343)	73.0 (277)	68.0 (220)	92.8 (724)	145.0 (386)	152.1 (649)	21.9 (23) - excludes South Leeds academy	116.2 (855)	93.7 (4122) all pupils 46.6	all pupils 45.0
Comment on The rate of fix	d the all pupils city wide pe ed term exclu	s city-wide resu rformance sions has reduc clusions from pr	ced marginally	in 2009/10, wit	h the rate of fix	ed term exclus	-		dary schools a	nd falling slight	ly in primary

		Percentage Point Difference	nt Difference
Ethnic Origin	2010 cohort size	Academic Year Academic 2008-09 Year 2009	Academic Year 2009-10
White British	6511	1.2	1.6
White Irish	27	7.4	23.8
White Gypsy, Roma and Traveller of Irish heritage	24	-39.7	-46.1
White - Any other white background	98	-7.3	-6.4
Mixed - Black Caribbean and White	145	-12.6	-13.7
Mixed - Asian and White	60	2.4	9.7
Mixed - Any other mixed background	89	-1.2	-7.6
Black African	188	-6.8	-7.2
Mixed - Black African and White	24	-14.7	-8.6
Black Caribbean	93	-18.9	-13.7
Black - Any other Black background	49	-27.2	-7.4
Asian - Indian	125	15.9	8.9
Asian - Pakistani	338	-10.7	-6.2
Asian - Bangladeshi	59	-17.1	-6.2
Asian - Any other Asian background	98	-5.5	-6.4
Chinese	32	6.7	9.1
Any other ethnic background	46	0	6.2

NI 108 - Key Stage 4 attainment for Black and minority ethnic groups

Information about the PI

ethnic groups and their peers by improving the performance of these groups at Key Stage 4. Good behind this measure is to narrow the gap in achievement between children in low attaining minority point gap for the mean of each group. performance is typified by a decrease in percentage point gap, equating to a reduction in the percentage achieving 5 A*-C grades at GCSE (and equivalent), including GCSE English and maths. The rationale This indicator measures the percentage point gap between pupils in each ethnic group and all pupils, 5

Comment on performance

ethnic group. Attainment for all pupils improved by 5 percentage points for 5 A*-C including English and improved significantly improvements in their 5 A*-C attainment, indicating that their success in English and maths has points), Other Black heritage (24 percentage points), and Mixed Black African and White (10 percentage percentage points), Other Pakistani heritage (12 percentage points), Black Caribbean (10 percentage lower levels of attainment increased by more than the Leeds average, including Bangladeshi (up 15 maths. Attainment improved for all ethnic groups except Indian, other white heritage, other Mixed heritage and Travellers of Irish heritage. As with 5 A*-C, several of the ethnic groups with historically small and the data would be meaningless. As such, only the city wide figure has been reported for each Disaggregating the data for this measure to an AC level would mean that the cohort sizes would be too points). White Eastern European pupils saw an increase of 22 percentage points, despite only small

maths, but is below national levels of attainment for Indian pupils. Attainment for Bangladeshi pupils is below the national level still below the Leeds average, but the gap has narrowed to 6 percentage points, and attainment is still The performance for Indian pupils is still above the Leeds average for 5 A*-C including English and